UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	•	0			
	Cirilo Garcia-Rubio	Case Number:	11-6475M		
present and w	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(as represented by counsel. I conclude by a p ne defendant pending trial in this case.	f), a detention hearing reponderance of the every	was held on September 7, 2011. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the		
FINDINGS OF FACT					
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:				
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	rears imprisonment.		
at the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as noted in CONC There is a serious risk that the defendant	the record. CLUSIONS OF LAW will flee.	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour		
2.	No condition or combination of conditions	will reasonably assure REGARDING DETEN	the appearance of the defendant as required.		
a corrections fappeal. The dof the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of the A acility separate, to the extent practicable, fror efendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppo	Attorney General or his, n persons awaiting or so ortunity for private cons vernment, the person in	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a coun charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the		
IT IS (DRDERED that should an appeal of this dete	ention order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric		
IT IS F Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a the ciently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	ird party is to be consid District Court to allow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
DATED this 8 th day of September, 2011.					

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge